Mappings

Overview on Different Mapping Possibilities With E2E Builder

When to use	Conditions	Remarks
graphic al mapping	 Only a few attributes have to be mapped and you want to document which attributes are used for the mapping. 	Use graphical mapping as long it is well arranged (avoid crossing lines) Also a matter of taste Pro: good graphical view including mapping rules on dependencies Con: takes long time to draw, problem when reimporting classes (e. g. Salesforce WSDL)
script mapping	You have many attributes or complex mappings with rules and conversions.	When using script mapping, use a class diagram and put the script into the E2ESimple Mapping dependency
mapEqu alNames	One use case could be, if the classes are given through a backend (e.g. createCustomerClass and UpdateCustomerClass which are equal but createCustomerClass has custID in addition or they are inherited from the same parent.	Be careful when using it and ask yourself: Are the attribute names of the two classes really the same and have all equal types?
mappin g context	You want to map hierarchical objects. Mapping context automatically iterates through the hierarchy defined in the class diagram.	

Mapping Using XSLT

Another possibility is mapping using XSLT, but that needs special knowledge.

Advantages	 external specification, therefore, can be tested externally changes must not always be re-compiled quicker? good scaling for big files good for structural mappings (deep hierarchies to flat or different hierarchies)
Disadvantages	mapping documentation only good for those who know the XSLT syntax

On this Page:

- Overview on Different Mapping Possibilities With E2E Builder
- Mapping Using XSLT

Related Pages:

- Project Organization
- Naming Conventions and Containment Tree Organisation
- Model Documentation
- Settings
- Mappings
- Sub-activities
- Logging
- Error Handling
- Data Mapping